



Bei

54

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/701,838	11/05/2003	Alan E. Rapkin	10229	7066
75	590 04/22/2005		EXAM	INER
mark g. bocchetti			KOHNER, MATTHEW J	
eastman kodak company 343 state street			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
rochester, NY 14650-2201			3653	
			DATE MAILED: 04/22/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/701,838	RAPKIN, ALAN E.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Matthew J Kohner	3653			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
THE MA - Extension after SIX - If the per - If NO per - Failure to Any reply	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY ALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Ins of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is it	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
2a)□ Th 3)□ Si	2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.					
Disposition	of Claims		•			
4a 5)⊠ CI 6)⊠ CI 7)⊠ CI	aim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the application. ) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw aim(s) <u>6-9</u> is/are allowed. aim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are rejected. aim(s) <u>5</u> is/are objected to. aim(s) are subject to restriction and/or					
Application	Papers					
10)∭ Th Ap Re	e specification is objected to by the Examine e drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the eplacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct e oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority und	der 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
2) Notice o 3) Informat	f References Cited (PTO-892) f Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) o(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/701,838

Art Unit: 3653

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent No. 5,725,211 to Blanchard et al.

Blanchard discloses a method of registering a sheet of a copy medium in a duplex reproduction machine (Col. 1, lines 7-10) to alleviate the misalignment between the respective images copied on the front and back of said particular sheet, said method comprising:

- measuring the error angle of skew (Col. 8, lines 48 et seq.) between a registration target angle and the leading edge of said sheet during a first pass before a first image is reproduced on said front of said sheet; and
- adjusting said registration target angle for said sheet during a second pass (Col. 9, lines 3 *et seq.*) to compensate for said error angle of skew wherein any misalignment between said first image and a second image to be reproduced on the back of said sheet is alleviated.

While Blanchard discloses using the leading edge of the sheet (instead of trailing edge as claimed by applicant) during the first pass to align the sheet, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that the trailing edge could be measured and used, since the leading edge

Application/Control Number: 10/701,838

Art Unit: 3653

and the trailing edge will be at the same angle anyway. Further, there is motivation to use the trailing edge since Blanchard discloses using the trailing edge sensors during the duplex mode.

In regard to claims 2 and 3, Blanchard doesn't specifically disclose that the target angle is 90°. However, it is well known in the art that 90° is the angle used relative to deskewing (See e.g. Fig. 8).

In regard to claim 4, Blanchard discloses two pairs of sensors (82-85) which are located near (See Fig. 2) the top and bottom of the sheet which sense a trailing edge of said sheet (Col. 6, lines 29 et seq.) as said sheet passes over the sensors.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 5 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 6-9 are allowed. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: Claim 6 requires the limitation of measuring an angle of skew of the trailing edge during a first pass through a duplex printing system, storing a signal representative of the angle of skew, retrieving the signal when the sheet is fed for the second pass and setting a new registration target angle which compensates for the skew angle during the second pass. This feature is not disclosed or suggested by the prior art of record.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue

Application/Control Number: 10/701,838

Art Unit: 3653

fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for

Allowance."

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Matthew J. Kohner whose telephone number is 703-305-8496.

The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 9-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Donald Walsh can be reached on 703-306-4173. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Matthew J. Kohner

Examiner

Art Unit 3653

mjk

DUNALU N

TECHN!

TER 3600

Page 4